


Life Cycle Assessment for Industrial Tablet Product



NTUT IEEM
Hu, Allen H. Professor Team



Project Description

- **Project Objectives**

USI Green Product Lifecycle Assessment and Counseling – Industrial Tablet Study

- **Project Implementation Framework**

The Green Product Lifecycle Assessment and Counseling Project is planned for one year, with the goal of promoting the establishment of product LCA, and at the same time, through counseling and training, strengthening the ability to implement product LCA, to fulfill the corporate social responsibility, to give full play to the influence of the semiconductor industry, and to improve the performance of international sustainability questionnaires (e.g., DJSI, CDP, etc.).

- **Anticipated Benefits**

- 1) Perform life cycle assessment on the target product to assist the investment control subsidiary to more comprehensively identify the environmental impacts associated with the product production process, as well as to identify improvement hotspots in the production process, and to fulfill its corporate social responsibility.
- 2) Through this year's project work program, not only can we achieve further exchanges between the industry and the academia, but we can also combine academic theories to meet the needs of the commissioning unit.

Research Target



Target Product	Industrial Tablet
Functional unit	The production of one Industrial Tablet product
System Boundary	B2B (Raw materials, manufacturing, waste)
Software	SimaPro 9.4.0.1
Inventory Data	USI provides data on energy inputs, and the data collection period is one year.
Carbon Footprint	IPCC 2021 GWP100a
Environmental Impact	ReCiPe (H) Midpoint 、Endpoint

Carbon Footprint & Environmental Impact

Carbon Footprint

- ✓ Inventory data collection and compilation
- ✓ Database Coefficient Update

Importing data into SimaPro software



Environmental Impact


Industrial Tablet Environmental Impact Implementation Process

System Boundary

- Includes wastes from the raw material stage, the manufacturing stage, and the production stage.

Inventory Data

- 2022/01/01~2022/12/31





Stages	Use of Information	資料來源	分配方式
Raw material stage	Raw Material Activity Data	SAP、OA、Replacement of Records	Industrial Tablet Number of production/all products in production
	Transportation Distance, Vehicle Type	Supplier Information、Google Map、ELCD、ICAO	
Manufacturing stage	Resources	Electricity Bills, Greenhouse Gas Inventory Data, Meter Reading Records	Floor area of production line/floor area of the whole factory
Waste Stage	Waste	Statistical Tables, Weigh Bills, Coupons	Floor area of production line/floor area of the whole factory*product quantity distribution
	Transportation Distance, Vehicle Type	Supplier Information、Google Map	

Industrial Tablet Selection of Environmental Impact Methods

ReCiPe methodologies

- ReCiPe is a methodology developed based on two existing methods, CML 2001 and Eco-indicator 99, making it one of the relatively newer environmental impact assessment methods (Goedkoop et al., 2013). ReCiPe encompasses the most extensive range of environmental impact categories among current existing methods (Heinonen et al., 2016) and can be used for comparative analyses of various environmental impact and damage categories (Korol et al., 2016).
- A significant feature of the ReCiPe methodology is that the normalization factors between midpoint and endpoint methods are consistent. Therefore, when evaluating damage results, the ReCiPe life cycle impact assessment method is recommended for use (Dong and Ng, 2014).







Industrial Tablet Carbon Footprint Assessment Results

Industrial Tablet Carbon Footprint Assessment Results

Carbon Footprint Assessment Results


- ✓ Using the life cycle assessment software SimaPro and the IPCC 2021 GWP100a methodology, we examined the carbon emissions of Industrial Tablet products and found that the total carbon emissions were **49.2 kgCO₂e/pcs**.
- ✓ The raw material stage (37.3 kgCO₂e/pcs) has a higher carbon footprint than the manufacturing stage (10.8 kgCO₂e/pcs).



Critical Material

- ✓ Electricity used in manufacturing processes is a major hotspot for carbon emissions.

Number	Categorization	Name	Carbon footprint (kgCO ₂ e/pcs)	Percentage
Manufacturing stage		Electricity	1.08E+01	21.95%
M143	Raw materials	WAN	8.52E+00	16.89%
M190	Raw materials	FRAME	3.27E+00	6.48%
M221	Raw materials	GASKET	1.94E+00	3.85%
M283	Raw materials	ASS'Y(TP+DISP)	1.83E+00	3.62%



Industrial Tablet Environmental Impact & Critical Material Sequencing


Environmental Impact

Critical Material

ReCiPe(H)- Lifecycle Assessment Results	
Environmental Impact (Percentage of damage)	Priority
	Industrial Tablet
Fine particulate matter formation	1 5.35E+00 Pt (45.86%)
Global warming, Human health	2 2.87E+00 Pt (24.61%)
Human non-carcinogenic toxicity	3 2.50E+00 Pt (21.43%)

Number	Categorization	Name	Damage	Percentage
M143	Raw material	WAN sku WIFI2	4.03E+00	34.40%
M144	Raw material	WAN sku WIFI1	4.03E+00	34.40%
Process	Process input	Electricity	2.75E+00	23.50%

- ✓ In Industrial Tablet's environmental impact assessment, the key materials at the raw material stage are WiFi component, which come from M143 and M144.
- ✓ The critical material at the manufacturing stage is electricity.



Conclusion and Recommendation

- ✓ According to Industrial Tablet's carbon footprint and environmental impact ranking of key raw materials, electricity input in the manufacturing process is one of the hotspots, accounting for 23.52% and 23.50% respectively.
- ✓ →It is recommended to reduce the proportion of traditional electricity input and increase the use of electricity (purchased green energy) in the manufacturing process to improve the damaging effects.
- ✓ In the ranking of critical material (except for the process stage), M143, M190, and M221 used in the raw material stage are the hotspots affecting carbon emissions; M143 is also the hotspot affecting environmental impact.
- ✓ →It is recommended to optimize the ratio of inputs of these critical raw materials to strengthen raw material management and avoid unnecessary consumption, or to use alternative raw materials with lower environmental impacts to improve their environmental impacts.

*Thank you
for your attention*

